Family Care - Bug #2400

0 0 0 0 0 0 screen

07/07/2021 07:01 PM - Saja Nakhleh

Status: Closed

Assignee: Saja Nakhleh

Low

Category:

Priority:

Target version:

Co-Workers:

Start date: 07/07/2021

Due date:

% Done: 0%

Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Spent time: 0.00 hour

Actual Result: issue #1:

a. the new date is validated

b. the new date will not be shown on the table. Observe the scenario and the

results on the video:

https://www.loom.com/share/5a4974ebe

93b49c8a9296266dd1acca0

Issue #2:

the advanced change is shown.

evidence 3.gif

Issue #3:

Open file service will be shown on the main screen to user USR02778, although it's not shown on the main

menu. evidence 4.gif

Question:

what should USR02779 find on his screens, tasks and main page? as the current behavior he is screens are shown normally as supervisor

Environment: QA

Transaction Number:

Username: Usr00013

Browser: Chrome

URL:

Test Case ID:

Severity: Normal

Category: User management

Sub-Category:

Step_Description:
Pre_Conditions:
Expected Result:

issue #1:

a. the new date should be validated (could

not be in the past)

b. the new date should be shown on the

table

Issue #2:

the advanced change should no be

available

Issue #3:

a. Open file service should not be shown on the main screen to user USR02778

Issue #4:

the following search boxes should be exist based on Wafaa request:

06/06/2025 1/5

Description

Issue #1:

- 1. Open [][][] screen
- 2. Create new [][][]
- 3. Click update action
- 4. Set new date and click ok

Issue #2:

- 1. Open [[]] screen
- 2. click the action new [][][]
- 3. Observe the advanced search

Issue #3:

- 1. Open [[]] screen
- 2. Create new [][][][] of type (searcher to supervisor i.e.: USR02778\ researcher USR02779/ supervisor)
- 3. login the USR02778 and observe the open file service

Issue #4 (sent by email by Wafaa, Observe the ([[]]][].pdf) attachment

1. Observe the search boxes

Business issue:

why the $(\square\square\square\square)$ or $(\square\square\square\square\square\square$ $\square\square\square\square$ that assigned on finished $\square\square\square\square$, could not be assigned for any new \square

History

#1 - 07/13/2021 02:27 AM - Saja Nakhleh

- File [] [] [] .pdf added
- Description updated
- Expected Result updated

#2 - 07/13/2021 12:46 PM - Saeed Ismail

Saja Nakhleh wrote:

Issue <u>#1</u>:

- 1. Open DDDD screen
- 2. Create new [][][]
- 3. Click update action
- 4. Set new date and click ok

Issue #2:

- 1. Open DDDD screen
- 2. click the action new
- 3. Observe the advanced search

Issue #3:

- 1. Open DDDD screen
- 2. Create new [][][][] of type (searcher to supervisor i.e: USR02778\ researcher USR02779/ supervisor)
- 3. login the USR02778 and observe the open file service

Issue #4 (sent by email by Wafaa, Observe the ([[]][]].pdf) attachment

1. Observe the search boxes

Business issue:

why the (0000) or (000000 0000) that assigned on finished 00000, could not be assigned for any new 0 00000

Saeed Ismail

Issue #1:

- a. fixed
- b. fixed

Issue #2:

Not Applicable, Advance search button is impeded component in this specific type of LOVs and connect be hide, the work around solution needs a lot of changes on screens.

06/06/2025 2/5

Issue #3: fixed.

Issue #4: not belong to this bug report

Issue #5:Business issue: Ignored

#3 - 07/13/2021 12:47 PM - Saeed Ismail

- Assignee changed from Saeed Ismail to Saja Nakhleh

#4 - 07/14/2021 01:23 PM - Saeed Ismail

- File TakleefSearch.png added

update on the previous reply

Issue #4:



#5 - 07/14/2021 10:16 PM - Saja Nakhleh

- Status changed from Open to Feedback
- Assignee changed from Saja Nakhleh to Saeed Ismail
- Priority changed from Normal to Low

Issue #1:

a. the date still not validated, hence a past date could be entered

b. tested and verified

Issue #2

as confirmed with BA, Advanced search should be removed anywhere it found

Issue #3:

tested and verified.

Issue #4: verified.

Issue #5:

Not valid issue (working fine)

06/06/2025 3/5

#6 - 07/16/2021 12:22 PM - Saeed Ismail

- Assignee changed from Saeed Ismail to Saja Nakhleh

Saja Nakhleh wrote:

Issue #1:

a. the date still not validated, hence a past date could be entered

b. tested and verified

Issue #2:

as confirmed with BA, Advanced search should be removed anywhere it found

Issue #3

tested and verified.

Issue #4: verified.

Issue #5:

Not valid issue (working fine)

Saja Nakhleh wrote:

Issue <u>#1</u>:

a. fixed

Issue #2:

The advanced search button is now fixed and hidden for all search LOVs

#7 - 07/16/2021 03:06 PM - Saja Nakhleh

- Assignee changed from Saja Nakhleh to Saeed Ismail

Issue #1:

a. still duplicated either on (add new takleef) dialog or update the takleef date dialog

Issue #2:

tested and verified.

06/06/2025 4/5

#8 - 07/17/2021 02:51 PM - Saeed Ismail

- Assignee changed from Saeed Ismail to Saja Nakhleh

Saja Nakhleh wrote:

Issue #1:

a. still duplicated either on (add new takleef) dialog or update the takleef date dialog

ssue #2

tested and verified.

Issue #1: a. fixed

#9 - 07/17/2021 05:24 PM - Saja Nakhleh

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

Issue #1:

tested and verified

Files

3.GIF	39.4 KB	07/07/2021	Saja Nakhleh
4.GIF	80.1 KB	07/07/2021	Saja Nakhleh
	1.38 MB	07/12/2021	Saja Nakhleh
TakleefSearch.png	43.5 KB	07/14/2021	Saeed Ismail

06/06/2025 5/5